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Goals for this Session

1. Academic Leadership through the Accreditation Process
2. Bolman & Deal’s (2013) Four Frame Model of Leadership
3. Applications to Accreditation
4. Perspectives and Tools for Continuing Enhancement of Academic Leadership

Challenges of Academic Leadership
(from Lee Bolman, SACSCOC Summer Institute 2018)

The nature of academic institutions typically produces “VUCA” conditions (volatile, uncertain, complex, ambiguous) because they have:
- Multiple tasks and goals
- Multiple disciplines and constituencies
- Vague, disputed, hard to measure goals
- Structures designed to give academic units and scholars substantial autonomy (i.e., adaptive at unit level, but often inertial at institutional level)
- An environment which typically presents many brakes and few accelerators
While colleges still depend on their presidents to set big-picture vision and make decisions about direction, they increasingly rely on chief academic officers to shepherd improvement and innovation and get institutionwide support for change. Provosts' roles are expanding to involve more strategic thinking, particularly as pressures grow for colleges to prove their worth and rein in costs."


CAO Leadership In Accreditation

- The chief academic officer is one of the few individuals on most campuses who is sufficiently connected to both the strategic and the operational functions to make connections between the two that accreditation processes often require.

CAO and Leadership

- Successful accreditation processes seldom occur without significant involvement of the institution's chief academic officer.
- The chief academic officer can seldom lead the institution effectively if accreditation processes are not functioning successfully.
CAO Leadership in Accreditation

• Accreditation processes require too much work and investment of resources for them not to produce benefits to the institution far beyond accreditation itself.

CAO Leadership in Accreditation

• Because few SACSCOC principles are prescriptive, chief academic officers have the freedom to frame compliance mechanisms that align internal needs and priorities with accreditation principles.

Benefits of Leveraging Accreditation Processes

• Internal and external verification of program quality and process validity
• Alignment of internal policies and procedures and with “good practices”
More Benefits

- Showcase of institutional effectiveness for constituent groups, including the governing board, students, and the public
- Inclusive accreditation process that builds community, shared vision, and participatory leadership

Still More Benefits

- Mechanism to motivate institutional change and continuous improvement
- Professional development opportunity for potential institutional leaders

Bolman & Deal’s (2013) Frames of Leadership

- Structural Frame
- Human Resource Frame
- Political Frame
- Symbolic Frame
Structural Frame

• Clarify institutional goals and objectives
• Evaluate and align structures to meet organization’s current circumstances, goals, and priorities
• Distinguish problem areas/performance deficits from structural deficits
• Identify remedies through problem solving and restructuring

Human Resource Frame

• Provide a responsive and supportive environment that invests in individuals
• Promote open dialogue and active engagement
  • Provide information and support
  • Encourage autonomy and participation
  • Foster self-managing teams
• Promotive personal and professional growth and development

Political Frame

• Build coalitions
  • Identify relevant relationships and power dynamics
  • Assess interests and resistance
  • Build linkages to key stakeholders
• Use persuasion and negotiation
• Leverage resources and exercise power to meet strategic priorities
Symbolic Frame

- Identify priorities and communicate them with passion
- Use symbols and stories to clarify direction and anchor hope/vision
- Attend to and value the process as a means to develop shared meaning
- Use rituals to honor values and leverage process to build community

Discussion (1)

- How might each of the four frames be applied to enhance an institution’s (and a CAO’s) overall approach to the accreditation process?

Discussion (2)

- How might the four frames enhance an institution’s overall approach to specific Principles?
  - 6.1 (Full-time faculty)
  - 6.2.b (Program faculty)
  - 6.2.c (Program coordination)
  - 8.2.a (Student outcomes: educational programs)
  - 13.8 (Institutional environment)


**VUCA 2.0**
(from Bill George, Harvard Business School, 2017)

**Vision** – “the ability to see through the chaos to have a clear vision for their organizations. They must define the True North of their organization: its mission, values, and strategy.”

**Understanding** – the ability to identify and act on “their organization’s capabilities and strategies to take advantage of rapidly changing circumstances by playing to their strengths while minimizing their weaknesses.”

**Courage** – the willingness “to step up to these challenges and make audacious decisions that embody risks and often go against the grain.”

**Adaptability** – the recognition that “flexible tactics are required for rapid adaptation to changing external circumstances, without altering strategic course.”
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