UNSOLICITED INFORMATION

Policy Statement

Unsolicited information is information about an accredited or candidate institution that comes to the attention of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) typically outside the normal cyclical review of an institution and that appears to call into question significant issues of compliance with the Principles of Accreditation. Five circumstances warrant SACSCOC review of unsolicited information about an accredited or candidate institution: (1) information revealed about an institution during its off-site or on-site committee reviews; (2) information revealed about an institution between periods of scheduled review; (3) information revealed by an institution during its meeting on the record with the Committees on Compliance and Reports, standing committees of the SACSCOC Board of Trustees; (4) information received from the U.S. Department of Education regarding an institution’s compliance responsibilities under Title IV of the Higher Education Act, including, as examples, an institution’s most recent student loan default rates, the results of financial or compliance audits, program reviews, and any other information that may be provided by the U.S. Department of Education; and (5) information received from U.S. Department of Education recognized agencies regarding adverse action or the imposition of probation on a SACSCOC candidate or member institution.

The procedures for review of unsolicited information for each of the circumstances described above are as follows:

(1) SACSCOC shall give appropriate consideration to significant accreditation-related information revealed about an institution during its off-site and on-site committee reviews. Following submission of a committee report, the institution will be given an adequate period of time to respond to the information before any action is taken by the Committees on Compliance and Reports.

(2) SACSCOC shall give appropriate consideration to significant accreditation-related information revealed about an institution between periods of scheduled review, typically from, but not limited to, a media source. Following review of the information by staff, the institution will be given an adequate period of time to respond to the information. If, after reviewing the response, Commission staff determines that the information is of factual substance and is accreditation related, the information and documentation, along with the institution’s response, will be forwarded to the Committees on Compliance and Reports for formal review or the President of SACSCOC can authorize a Special Committee to review the institution.

(3) SACSCOC shall give appropriate consideration to significant accreditation-related information revealed by an institution during its meeting on the record with the Committees on Compliance and Reports. (See “Administrative Procedures for the Meetings of the Committees on Compliance and Reports” for procedures.)

(4) SACSCOC shall give appropriate consideration to information received from the U.S. Department of Education regarding an institution’s compliance responsibilities under Title IV of the Act, including, as examples, an institution’s most recent student loan default rates, the results of financial or compliance audits, program reviews, and any other information that may be provided by the U.S. Department of
Education. Following review of the information by SACSCOC staff, the institution will be given an adequate period of time to respond to the information. If, after reviewing the response, Commission staff determines that the information is of factual substance and is accreditation related, the information and documentation, along with the institution’s response, will be forwarded to the Committees on Compliance and Reports for formal review, or the President of SACSCOC can authorize a Special Committee to review the institution.

(5) SACSCOC shall give appropriate consideration to information received from U.S. Department of Education-recognized agencies regarding adverse action or the implementation of probation on a SACSCOC candidate or member institution. Institutions are also required to disclose this information to SACSCOC. (See “Accrediting Decisions of Other Agencies” for procedures.)
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